Discussion of "Who do unions target? Unionization over the life-cycle of U.S. businesses" by Emin Dinlersoz, Jeremy Greenwood and Henry Hyatt

Mathieu Taschereau-Dumouchel

The Wharton School

AEA January 3rd 2015

- Two parts to the paper
 - New empirical facts from merging NLRB Union Election Data with Census Bureau Data
 - Provide a model to understand these facts
- This discussion
 - Summary of the facts
 - Focus on the model

Empirical Fact 1 _

Fact 1: More productive firms (as proxied by size) are more likely to be targeted for an election



3/11

Empirical Fact 2 __

Fact 2: Conditional on being targeted, union is more likely to lose the vote in productive firms (as proxied by size)



Empirical Fact 3 _

Fact 3: Young firms are more likely to be targeted for an election



Empirical Fact 4 _____

Fact 4: Conditional on being targeted, age does not influence the outcome of the vote



Theory _____

Simple theory to explain these facts:

1 A firm's long-run productivity χ is learned progressively by observing the productivity of a firm of age *a*:

$$x_a = \chi + \epsilon_a$$

- 2 Targeting a firm for an election requires payment of fixed cost c, independent of firm's characteristics
- **3** The union wins the election with probability $\omega_a \sim \text{iid } \Gamma([0,1])$
 - ω_a is known *before* the targeting decision is made
- **4** The benefit of a union firm to the union is $B(x_a)$ each period
 - *B* is strictly increasing and *strictly convex*

Theory _____

Matching theory to data:

- Targeting a firm costs constant c but the benefit of unionization increases with productivity x
 - Unions target more productive firms (Fact 1)
 - Unions target productive firms even if probability of success is low (Fact 2)
- Benefit of unionization is strictly convex in productivity x so that unions like uncertainty
 - Unions target young firms (large uncertainty about long-run productivity) (Fact 3)
 - This is where the learning mechanism matters

Theory ___

The theory is very simple and goes a long way in explaining the data

Comments:

• The theory works *qualitatively*, what about *quantitatively*?

- ► At a first order, the theory struggles with **Fact 4** (age does not influence the outcome of the vote)
- Second order effect (the uncertainty of the econometrician about the union's beliefs) might help
- Quantitative analysis would be useful
- 2 The correlation between probability of being targeted and age could come from other mechanisms
 - Alternative 1: young entrepreneurs might be unexperienced and unable to prevent unionization
 - Alternative 2: because of adjustment costs young productive firms are not large enough to prevent unionization
 - Can the data differentiates between the theories?
 - Learning relies on convexity of B (returns to scale)
 - Look at difference across industries?

Possible extensions:

- 1 Modeling the life cycle of the firm
 - With adjustment costs: productivity 💥 size
 - ▶ Benefits of unionization *B* could also depend on age
- O The econometrician is assumed to not know the union's beliefs about productivity
 - But the panel contains all previous productivities!

- · Combining datasets yields interesting empirical findings
- Simple theory goes a long way in qualitatively explaining the data